



PATENT HMD2000-1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Giaccherini et al.

Examiner:

Ly, Anh Vu H.

Serial No.:

09/579,324

Group Art Unit:

2667

Title:

Method for Using

Excess Communications Capacity

Filed:

25 May 2000

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

The undersigned hereby certifies that this document is being transmitted to the United States Patent Office by U.S.P.S. First Class Mail in accordance with the provisions of 37 CFR Section 1.8 on the date subscribed to below, and is addressed td The Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-

1450.

Thomas N. Giaccherini, Registration No. 31,075

LETTER IN RESPONSE TO PATENT OFFICE QUALITY REVIEW

The Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

On Wednesday 27 July 2005, Examiner Anh Vu H. Ly contacted the Attorney of Record for the Present Patent Application by telephone. The Examiner informed the Attorney of Record that the U.S. Patent Office had conducted a Quality Review of the Present Patent Application after the Notice of Allowance dated 1 June 2005 had been mailed. The Examiner explained that the Patent Office had discovered new art cited in a related, copending Application filed by Applicants, and that the Patent Office intended to re-open prosecution of the Present Patent Application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. Section 1.313 and MPEP Section 1308.

The Attorney of Record advised the Examiner that he intended to file a Disclosure Statement on or before the day that the Issue Fee would be paid. This Disclosure Statement would identify documents cited against related U.S. and PCT International Patent Applications to comply with Rule 56 and the *Dayco* decision. At the time of the Attorney of Record's discussion with the Examiner on 27 July 2005, the Attorney of Record had not yet analyzed any of the cited documents.

This Letter is accompanied by the new Disclosure Statement.

As of 31 August 2005, the Attorney of Record has not received a formal written Notice of Withdrawal from Issue from the Patent Office. Since no Notice of Withdrawal of Application initiated by the Patent Office has been received by the Applicants, a completed Issue Fee Transmittal is also included with this Letter. The Attorney of Record presumes that the Applicants are required to submit the Issue Fee Transmittal on or before the 1 September 2005 due date to preserve the pendency of the Present Application. The Attorney of Record understands that it is the current intention of the Patent Office not to accept the Issue Fee payment, and to re-open the prosecution of the Present Application.

Respectfully Jubmitted,

Thomas N. Glaccherini, Registration No. 31,075

Attorney for Applicants

Giaccherini

Post Office Box 1146 Carmel Valley, California 93924

831.659.5300

techlaw@ix.netcom.com

Transmitted to the Patent Office by First Class Mail with a Rule 8 Certificate of Mailing on 31 August 2005.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Giaccherini et al.

Examiner:

Ly, Anh Vu H.

Serial No.:

09/579,324

Group Art Unit:

2667

Title:

Method for Using

Excess Communications Capacity

Filed:

25 May 2000

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

The undersigned hereby certifies that this document is being transmitted to the United States Patent Office by U.S.P.S. First Class Mail in accordance with the provisions of 37 CFR Section 1.8 on the date subscribed to below, and is addressed to The Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-

Thomas N. Giaccherini, Registration No. 31,075

Date 31 Ay 2005,

APPLICANTS' COMMENTS ON STATEMENT FOR REASONS OF ALLOWANCE

The Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

The Applicants agree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance, which was attached to the Notice of Allowance mailed on 1 June 2005. The Applicants agree that Claim 1, as revised by the Examiner's Amendment, is allowable over the prior art.

The Applicants additionally note that the revision made to Claim 1 by the Examiner's Amendment was agreed to by the Applicants without prejudice to the Applicants' right to further prosecute Claim 1 in the form in which it was presented prior to the Examiner's Amendment. The Applicants agreed to the Examiner's Amendment for the purpose of expediting the issuance of the Patent, and to eliminate the need to further prosecute the appeal pending before the Patent & Trademark Office Board of Appeals & Interferences. Notwithstanding the Applicants' agreement to amend Claim 1 by the Examiner's Amendment, the Applicants nonetheless submit that Claim 1, in the form in which it was presented prior to the Examiner's Amendment, comprises patentable subject matter.

The Applicants believe that no fee is required to enter these comments.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas N. Giaccherini, Registration No. 31,075

Attorney for Applicants

Giaccherini

Post Office Box 1146 Carmel Valley, California 93924

831.659.5300

techlaw@ix.netcom.com

Transmitted to the Patent Office by First Class Mail with a Rule 8 Certificate of Mailing on 31 August 2005.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Giaccherini et al.

Examiner: Ly, Anh Vu H.

Serial No.: 09/579,324

Group Art Unit: 2667

Title: Method for Using

Excess Communications Capacity

Filed: 25 May 2000

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

The undersigned hereby certifies that this document is being transmitted to the United States Patent Office by U.S.P.S. First Class Mail in accordance with the provisions of 37 CFR Section 1.8 on the date subscribed to below, and is addressed to The Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-

Thomas N. Giacchelini, Registration No. 31,075

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

SUPPLEMENT TO THE EXAMINER'S

The Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

The Applicants submit that the Examiner's Interview Summary, which was attached to the Notice of Allowance mailed on 1 June 2005, is accurate. The Attorney of Record and the Examiner, during the course of several telephone interviews conducted between 13 and 15 May 2005, agreed that Claim 1, as presented in the Examiner's Amendment, recites patentable subject matter.

The Attorney of Record further notes that prior to agreeing to the revision of Claim 1 as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment, the Attorney of Record expressly stated to the Examiner that the agreement to revise Claim 1 was being made by the Applicants for the purpose of expediting the issuance of the Patent by eliminating the need to further prosecute the pending appeal and without prejudice to the Applicants' right to further prosecute Claim 1 in the form in which it was presented prior to the Examiner's Amendment. The Attorney of Record informed the Examiner that the Applicants maintain their belief that Original Claim 1 recites patentable subject matter compared to the art that has been cited by the Patent Office in the First and Second Office Actions, despite the fact that Claim 1 was revised to obtain this allowance.

The Applicants believe that no fee is required to enter this Supplement.

31 Ang 2005.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas N. Giaccherini,

Registration No. 31,075

Attorney for Applicants

Giaccherini

Post Office Box 1146 Carmel Valley, California 93924

831.659.5300

techlaw@ix.netcom.com

Transmitted to the Patent Office by First Class Mail with a Rule 8 Certificate of Mailing on 31 August 2005.